

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/697,064 | 10/30/2003 | Peter Henry Mahowald | 10030676-1 | 8140 | |
| 57299 7: | 590 11/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. | | | WILLIAMS, AI | WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O | |
| P.O. BOX 1920 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DENVER, CO 80201-1920 | | | ARTORIT | TATERNOMBER | |
| | | | 2826 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/697,064 | MAHOWALD; PETER HENRY | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Alexander O. Williams | 2826 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ARANDONE. | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133) | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[\] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u> | Sontombor 2006 | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowa | | ecoution as to the merita in | | | |
| ت (۵ | closed in accordance with the pra :e under I | | | | | |
| | | LX parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1, 40 | 13 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4-8 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | • • | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected ω by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | | • | | | |
| * 5 | see the attached detailed Office action for a list | | d. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ` , | 0.1_0 | | | | |
| 1) 🔀 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) \ | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(ᢒ/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P | atent Application | | | |
| Pape | No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/697,064

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/697064 Attorney's Docket #: 10030676-1

Filing Date: 10/30/2003

Applicant: Mahowald

Examiner: Alexander Williams

..;..

Page 2

Applicant's Amendment filed 9/7/06 to the election with traverse of Group I (device claims 1-8) filed 4/21/06 is acknowledged.

Applicant's request to reconsider the restriction has been granted.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, figure 4

Sub-Species IA, figure 5A

Sub-Species IB, figure 5B

Sub-Species IC, figure

Sub-Species ID, figure 5D

Sub-Species IE, figure 6

Sub-Species IF, figure.7

Sub-Species IG, figure 8

Sub-Species IH, figure 9. The species are independent or distinct because the structure of the devices are different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Claims 2, 3 and 9-14 have been cancelled.

Claims 1, 4 to 8 and 15 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, it is unclear and confusing to what by "wherein **the substrate** is selectively flip-cnip coupled via bond pads on **the substrate** such that the matching circuit is interposed between the optoelectronic device and the driver." How can the substrate be flip chip via bonds on itself, the substrate?

Any of claims 1, 4 to 8 and 15 to 20 not specifically addressed above are rejected as being dependent on one or more of the claims which have been specifically objected to above.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/06 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections detailed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,064

Art Unit: 2826

The insertion of Applicant's additional claimed language, for example, "in claim 1 and new claims 15 to 20" cause for further search and consideration to make this action final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. \ni 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. \ni 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STAT! TORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R.

1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,064

Art Unit: 2826

| Field of Search | Date |
|---|--------------------|
| U.S. Class and subclass: 257/349,82,80,81,138,e31.054,e23.079,e25.015,e23.062, e29.116,e29.136 330/308,110,282,289 365/200 | 6/7/06 11/17/06 |
| Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257/349, 82,80,81,138, e31.054,e23.079,e25.015,e23.062,e29.116,e29.136 330/308,110,282,289 365/200 | 6/7/06 11/17/06 |
| Electronic data base(s): U.S. Patents EAST | 6/7/06 11/17/06 |

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

-

~<u>``</u>`.

`

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access 'n the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

asfull. Alexander O Williams

٠٠٠٠

٠٠٠٠٠

Page 6

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2826

AOW 11/18/06